

451512V

25X1

SUBJECT SOVIET AND SATELLITE RADIO COMMENT ABOUT THE
FIRST CFM SESSIONS IN PARIS

DATE DIST. 2 June 1949

25X1

NO. OF PAGES 3

DATE
PUBLISHED

LANGUAGE

SUPPLEMENT TO

25X1

THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS INFORMATION AFFECTING THE NATIONAL DEFENSE OF THE UNITED STATES WITHIN THE MEANING OF ESPIONAGE ACT OF U. S. C., 51 AND 52, AS AMENDED. ITS TRANSMISSION OR THE REVELATION OF ITS CONTENTS IN ANY MANNER TO AN UNAUTHORIZED PERSON IS PROHIBITED BY LAW. REPRODUCTION OF THIS FORM IS PROHIBITED.

25X1

THIS IS UNEVALUATED INFORMATION

** It is worth noting, however, that in other contexts there has been no apparent let-up in the scope or degree of the Soviet radio's propaganda campaign against the "warmongering" Western forces of "aggression and imperialism"--which are identified in turn with American foreign policy.

CLASSIFICATION

RESTRICTED

STATE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NAVY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NSR3				
ARMY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	AIR	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FBI				

RESTRICTED

But the most recent available Soviet commentary, an IZVESTIA article about the 25 May session, uses such relatively mild language as: Acheson "came out against the proposals submitted by (Vishinsky) and made it quite clear that the U.S. delegation held to its former position"; Schuman put forth a "vague proposition"; and Bevin made a "very contradictory" statement. Furthermore, it is worth noting that, as of this date at least, the Soviet radio has not resorted to the charge that Western propaganda, anticipating Conference failure, is setting the stage for attributing blame to the Soviet delegation. This is in marked contrast to the Soviet propaganda line developed immediately prior to the London Conference; at that time it was implied that the Western Powers were intent on scuttling the Conference and that therefore American propaganda was preparing "to blame the Soviet Union from the outset for failures of the Conference, to represent the Soviet Union as chiefly responsible for a policy of delaying and preventing joint decision."

SOVIET-CONTROLLED GERMANY--THE FALL OF SHANGHAI AND THE CFM: Soviet-controlled German radios continue to plug the theme of "German unity," as does Moscow. Some of them also hold out qualified hopes that as a result of the sincere and righteous Soviet efforts the CFM Conference may prove successful. "We must not be disheartened," says one commentator. "The fact that full agreement has not been reached within the first three days need be no cause for pessimism."

The most startling contributions of Soviet-Zone broadcasts about the Conference, however, are the explicit and pointed references to the alleged significance of Shanghai's fall. Berlin commentator, Herbert Gessner, recalling Mao Tze-tung's declared readiness for peace two years ago, says:

"Now that Chiang Kai-shek and his American backers have learned the consequences of interpreting as weakness the constant readiness for peace on the part of the progressive forces, it may perhaps teach some other politicians of similar inclinations to assess more realistically the chances of success for their policies--also in Europe."

Contending that the world balance of forces has again shifted in favor of the "progressive camp," Gessner concludes that a point has been reached which conforms with Stalin's idea that it is perfectly possible for such different systems as capitalism and socialism to live peacefully side by side for a long time to come.

"The development in China will therefore undoubtedly have a great influence on the progress of the negotiations in Paris. ... It now depends on Anglo-U.S. diplomacy whether they will really learn this great lesson from the China example and apply it at the Paris Conference."

The commentator also derides Acheson's rejection of the proposal to discuss the Japanese

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

peace treaty at Paris; the rejection is attributed to American displeasure over the prospect of having to discuss it with the USSR and "New China" on one side and the U.S. and Britain on the other. Another Soviet-Zone German commentator, Leipzig's Zoeger, interprets the fall of Shanghai as proof that the liberation of peoples suppressed by the imperialist powers cannot be halted by financial enslavement or the power of high finance; "those of the Conference partners at Paris today who are concerned by this should draw their own conclusions."

SATELLITE RADIO--ECHOES OF MOSCOW: Following Moscow's lead, most of the Satellite radios report fully on Vishinsky's statements and give considerably less attention to the statements of the other Foreign Ministers. There are occasional references to Western-inspired "difficulties," as well as occasional expressions of "cautious optimism" about the Conference outcome. Otherwise, little independent comment has appeared.

RESTRICTED